

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/540,523	01/03/2006	Shinji Hirai	052668	5341	
38834 7590 07/16/2009 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			BALL, JOHN C		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	ON. DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	. ,		1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/16/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/540,523 HIRAI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit J. CHRISTOPHER BALL 1795 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 June 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 June 2005 is/are; a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) 

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) 

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)Mail Date (PTO-952/03)

Paper No(s)Mail Date (OC24/2005)

6) Other:

Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/540,523 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Summary

1. This is the initial Office Action based on the HIRAI et al. application filed as an

International Application under the Patent Cooperation Treaty on December 26, 2003,

and now is a National Stage Entry ("371") application.

2. Claims 1-4 are currently pending and have been fully considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 1, 2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by BIJVOETS (US 5.006.178).

Regarding claims 1 and 4, BIJVOETS discloses a thermoelectric device that can be utilized for cooling (Col. 3, lines 56-58), wherein is taught a device comprising a thermoelectric conversion material (6 & 7, Figure) between two electrodes that function as a cathode and an anode (two terminal ends of 5, Figure) which are implicitly electrically short-circuited (Col. 3, lines 60-64), so that one electrode side in contact with

the thermoelectric conversion material becomes a low-temperature side and the other electrode side becomes a high-temperature side as evident with the presence of a temperature difference (ΔT) across the device (Col. 3, lines 56-58; Col. 4, lines 7-10; Col. 5, lines 11-15), a temperature difference between the two electrodes causing the thermoelectric conversion material inherently to produce a thermoelectric force which generates a current to cool the high-temperature side, as the device can be used for cooling (Col. 5, lines 37-40). The limitations that the device is specifically used for cooling an electronic component or as part of a cooling system, are intended use and therefore not given the same patentable weight as the structural elements of a claimed device.

Regarding claim 2, BIJVOETS teaches the thermoelectric conversion material is a combination of p-type (8, Figure) and n-type (10, Figure) material arranged alternately in series

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Application/Control Number: 10/540,523 Page 4

Art Unit: 1795

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459
 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under

35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BIJVOETS (US 5,006,178) in view of MURAMATSU et al. (US 6,326,610 B1).

BIJVOETS teaches the limitation of claim 1, as outlined above.

BIJVOETS does not teach two or more stacked cooling devices.

However, MURAMATSU discloses Peltier devices for cooling, wherein is taught stacking two cooling devices (Col. 5, lines 19-21).

At the time of the present invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to stack the device as taught by BIJVOETS in the manner as taught by MURAMATSU because it increases the cooling ability of the overall system.

## Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. CHRISTOPHER BALL whose telephone number is Art Unit: 1795

(571)270-5119. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday,

8:00 am to 5:00 pm (EDT).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nam X Nguyen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1753

JCB

07/15/2009